Public Works Department Bridge Asset Inventory Procedure Revised August 2012



**APPROVED BY:** Dan Miller, P.E.

City Engineer

APPROVED BY:

William D. Brown, P.E. Director of Public Works

### BRIDGE ASSET INVENTORY PROCEDURE

#### **OVERVIEW**

Bridge structures are a significant and increasingly important element of Overland Park's transportation infrastructure. New structures being built and bridges being annexed into the City need to be added to the City's inventory while existing structures require inspection, load rating and maintenance. The upkeep of the data in the City's inventory requires coordination with the consultant hired for inspections, PW-Maintenance, PW-Engineering Project Managers, and the City's licensed engineer in charge of the bridge inspection program (hereinafter the City's Bridge Manager).

The procedures outlined in this document follow federal guidelines and state law. If at any time there appears to be a conflict between this document and state/federal law, the current law shall prevail.

#### **GENERAL DEFINITIONS**

*BRIDGE* as defined by the NBIS is "a structure including supports erected over a depression or an obstruction, such as water, highway, or railway, and having a track or passageway for carrying traffic or other moving loads, and having an opening measured along the center of the roadway of more than 20 feet between undercopings of abutments or spring lines of arches, or extreme ends of openings for multiple boxes; it may also include multiple pipes, where the clear distance between openings is less than half of the smaller contiguous opening."

*LUCITY* is the computer program used to manage the database of bridge inventory, including inspections and maintenance.

KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION BUREAU OF LOCAL PROJECTS – LOCAL BRIDGE INSPECTION MANUAL contains guidelines and requirements for local bridge inspections.

*NATIONAL BRIDGE INSPECTION STANDARDS (NBIS)* published in the <u>Code of</u> <u>Federal Regulations</u> (23 CFR 650.3) are the federal regulations establishing requirements for inspection procedures, frequency of inspections, qualifications of personnel, inspection reports, and preparation and maintenance of a State bridge inventory. **The NBIS applies to all structures defined as bridges located on or over all public roads.** 

*NATIONAL BRIDGE INVENTORY (NBI)* is the aggregation of structure inventory and appraisal data collected to fulfill the requirements of the NBIS.

*PUBLIC ROADS* are defined in the CFR, Title 23 Highways Part 650 Subpart C-National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS) as any road under the jurisdiction of and maintained by a public authority and open to public travel.

*KDOT BLP BRIDGE INSPECTION WEB PORTAL* is the program used to submit the NBIS inspection information to KDOT.

*RECORDING AND CODING GUIDE FOR THE STRUCTURE INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL OF THE NATION'S BRIDGES* provides guidance for evaluating and coding specific bridge data.

STRUCTURE INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL SHEET (SI&A) is the graphic representation of the data recorded and stored for each NBI record in accordance with the "Recording and Coding Guide for the Structure Inventory and Appraisal of the Nation's Bridges".

#### **NEW INVENTORY/BRIDGE REPAIR PROJECTS**

#### PRIOR TO PLAN APPROVAL

The Project Manager/Plan Reviewer shall obtain the information listed below from the Engineer prior to final construction approval on any new bridge project or bridge rehabilitation project that affects the waterway opening at the bridge. The City's Bridge Manager or Bridge Consultant will review this information.

- "Hydraulic Assessment Checklist for Drainage Design"
- (Attachment A-English)

A licensed professional engineer in the State of Kansas must seal this document and the supporting calculations. The scour analysis shall be performed in accordance with the current KDOT procedures. This checklist is available in both English and metric units and is located in the KDOT Bridge Design Manual as well as on KDOT's website (www.ksdot.org).

In the event that the bridge is a new construction the City's Bridge Manager will provide a new bridge number to the Engineer and Project Manager/Plan Reviewer. Overland Park Bridge ID numbers are three digits long and appear at the end of the fifteen digit KDOT structure number. The first twelve digits of the KDOT number should be determined from Appendix E of the KDOT Supplemental Coding Guide for Structure Inventory and Appraisal.

Bridges that are being replaced as part of a project will also receive a new bridge number and the previous number will be retired. Files for retired numbers will be placed in the "Dead Bridge" file.

Existing bridges that are new to Overland Park due to annexation shall receive a new Overland Park and KDOT bridge number like a newly constructed bridge. Inspection records from the previous owner will be added to the Overland Park inspection files. Bridges added to the inventory by annexation may need to be inspected in order to get them on the same cycle as the City's other bridges.

As part of the construction project a plaque shall be installed designating the Overland Park structure number. For box culverts meeting the definition of a bridge, the plaque shall be placed in the North West inside barrel corner. Bridges shall have the plaque placed on the inside face of the approach bridge barrier rail for southbound traffic on north/south bridges and on the inside face of the approach bridge barrier rail for



westbound traffic on east/west bridges. For bridges carrying traffic in one direction only, the plaque shall be placed on



the inside face of the approach bridge barrier rail as shown.

KDOT recommends that an NBIS inventory inspection and load rating be performed on any new or reconstructed bridge prior to opening the bridge to traffic.

#### COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION

The Project Manager/Plan Reviewer shall obtain the information listed below from the Engineer upon completion of the bridge construction. This information shall be submitted to the City's Bridge Manager to create a new bridge file, add the new information to GBA Bridge Master, and for submittal to KDOT.

• A "half-size" set of plans, hard copy and in Adobe pdf format. Plans need to include enough detail for future load rating of the structure, including design standards and loading used, material strengths, member sizes, and reinforcing steel details. Shop drawings will be required for precast RCB's, Arches or other members where enough detail is not included in the design plans for rating.

• An initial inventory inspection in accordance with the latest version of KDOT's Bureau of Local Projects Bridge Inspection Manual using the bridge inventory form. This inspection shall be performed and signed by a KDOT prequalified bridge inspection team leader.

• SI&A information as required by FHWA and KDOT, by completion of the SI&A sheet (Attachment B). Please note that Attachment B is in English units. If the bridge was designed and detailed in metric, the information shall be supplied in metric units.

• Supporting load rating information. When possible the computer program "BRASS" shall be utilized for performing load ratings. Alternately, the computer program OPIS may be utilized for performing load ratings (KDOT Bureau of Design uses OPIS for load rating bridges on the State system). Ratings shall be

performed in accordance with procedures outlined in this document. The supporting load rating calculations must be provided in written form and sealed by a licensed professional engineer in the State of Kansas. Also, the computer data files and output files must be provided in both electronic and hard copy forms. Attachment C is the City's form to show the results of the calculations.

• Digital photos of the upstream and downstream elevations of the structure and the channel, both roadway approaches and the superstructure elements from the underside of the bridge, defects, all attached utilities, and any unique features.

This information shall be provided to the City's Bridge Manager within 90 calendar days of acceptance of the bridge or opening to the traveling public. The City's Bridge Manager or Bridge Consultant will review all of the information and request from KDOT a bridge file with basic bridge data be created in the KDOT Bridge Inspection Web Portal. Then the City's Bridge Manager or Bridge Consultant will enter the remaining bridge information into the Bridge Inspection Web Portal.

The above information also is required for bridge structures that are modified or repaired. Maintenance types of repairs that do not affect the load carrying capacity or the NBIS coding criteria do not require re-inspection or a revised submittal of information to KDOT.

#### LOAD POSTING OF BRIDGES

#### LOAD RATING

Load rating of the City's bridges shall be performed as described in the current version of the AASHTO "Manual for Bridge Evaluation" using the Load Factor Rating (LFR) method. Bridges designed using Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) may be load rated using Load and Resistance Factor Rating (LRFR) method.

As-built construction plans and consideration for the extent of deterioration of the structure should be considered when determining its capacity.

For Load Factor Rating method, a comparison of the live load capacity of a member to the applied rating truck load will yield a rating for both the Inventory and Operating levels, defined as follows:

*Inventory Rating:* The load level that can safely utilize an existing structure for an indefinite period of time.

Operating Rating: The absolute maximum permissible load level to which the structure may be subjected. Allowing unlimited numbers of

vehicles to use the bridge at Operating level may shorten the life of the bridge.

The City's bridges will be rated using KDOT load rating/posting trucks as shown in Attachment D, except for the permit vehicles. Calculations will be performed to establish Inventory and Operating Ratings for each of these load rating trucks and will be compiled on the City's form (Attachment C). This form shall be signed and sealed by a licensed professional engineer in the State of Kansas.

#### LOAD POSTING

A bridge that cannot carry a minimum live load of 3 tons must be closed to traffic. Bridges with an operating rating less than the maximum legal load must be posted. Other than these requirements, there are no specific requirements from AASHTO, FHWA, or KDOT on how to load post bridges. However, each entity expresses a guideline to be used by the bridge owner:

#### AASHTO "Manual for Bridge Evaluation":

"Weight limitations for the posted structure should conform to local regulations or policy within the limits established by this Manual. A bridge should be capable of carrying a minimum gross live load weight of three tons at Inventory or Operating level."

"A bridge owner may close a structure at any posting threshold, but bridges not capable of carrying a minimum gross live load weight of three tons must be closed."

# FHWA "Recording and Coding Guide for the Structure Inventory and Appraisal of the Nation's Bridges":

"Although posting a bridge for load-carrying capacity is required only when the maximum legal load exceeds the operating rating, highway agencies may choose to post at a lower level."

#### KDOT Bridge Manual:

"It is up to the local authority to post the bridge at an appropriate level, based on engineering judgment and/or the recommendation of their Consultant, and to extend the life of the structure.

The posting level must be less than or equal to the Operating rating. The public authority responsible for inspection and maintenance of the structure has the authority to post anywhere within this range. It may not be prudent nor advisable to commonly post near the operating rating. A common level of posting is at approximately midway between inventory and operating rating. On a steel structure this would be about 65% of the yield stress in the steel.

It is recommended that each city or county develop a posting or signing policy to be consistent in their signing if they have not already done so."

It is the general policy of the City of Overland Park to post bridges (as defined by NBIS) as shown in TABLE I. Current city truck routes can be found in Ordinance No. TR-2107.

The Public Works Department retains the right to vary from this established policy for specific situations as may be considered on a case by case basis. These special cases may be situations where unusual circumstances or considerations are present such as special truck configurations, limited access requirements, traffic volume and speeds, the likelihood of overweight vehicles and the enforceability of a weight posting.

					<b>Posting Policy</b>	
Rating Condition		Truck Route	Non-Truck Route			
Inventory Rating	<	Operating Rating	<	3 TONS	Bridge Closed	Bridge Closed
Posting Truck Weight *	<	Inventory Rating	<	Operating Rating	No Posting Required	No Posting Required
Inventory Rating	<	Posting Truck Weight	<	Operating Rating	Average of IR and OR **	No Posting Required
Inventory Rating	<	Operating Rating	<	Posting Truck Weight	Inventory Rating	Average of IR and OR

\* The posting weight of the trucks is shown in Attachment E.

\*\* If average exceeds posting truck weight, no posting required.

#### TABLE I

There will be instances where plans are not available and a concrete bridge cannot be rated using calculations. According to AASHTO, "a concrete bridge need not be posted for restricted loading when it has been carrying normal traffic for an appreciable length of time and shows no distress. The general rule may apply to bridges for which details of the reinforcement are not known."

It shall be the established policy of the City of Overland Park that in concrete bridges where the details of reinforcing are not known, posting shall be at the level as recommended by the City's Bridge Manager. In general, if the bridge is not currently posted, has been carrying normal traffic for an appreciable length of time and shows no signs of distress, the bridge need not be posted for load restrictions. If the bridge is currently posted, the posting need not change unless distress or deterioration becomes evident that warrants reduced load capacity.

SIGNING

Similar to the lack of criteria for load posting levels, there are no established guidelines for weight limit signs to use for a specific structure.

The weight limit sign adopted for use by the City, in accordance with the FHWA "Manual on Uniform Control Devices" (MUTCD), is the three-vehicle gross weight sign, R12-5. The R12-5 goes into detail by defining total weight of the vehicle for three basic truck configurations. There is a simpler one-vehicle sign, R 12-1, which may be used in special circumstances.



The use of these signs does not attempt to sign for trucks utilizing the maximum axle weights established by the State of Kansas. It is based on the truck loading configurations of the KDOT Load Rating/Posting Truck (Attachment D).

When using the R 12-5 sign, the weights to be shown shall be determined as follows:

#### SINGLE TRUCK: H Truck and Type 3 Truck

 Determine necessary rating for the H Truck and the Type 3 Truck using TABLE I.



- If both trucks are lower than their posting truck weight, use the lowest of the two ratings on the sign.
- If only one truck is lower than their posting truck weight, use the non-compliant rating, provided that the non-compliant weight is lower than the operating rating of the other vehicle. At no time should the posted weight exceed either of the vehicles operating ratings.

Truck	Posting Truck Weight	Inventory Rating	Operating Rating	Individual Truck Rating	Signed Posting
H Truck	12.5	8	13	None Required	12
Type 3 Truck	25	14	24	19	15

Example 1 on a Non-Truck Route:

Example 2 on a Truck Route:

Truck	Posting Truck Weight	Inventory Rating	Operating Rating	Individual Truck Rating	Signed Posting
H Truck	12.5	8	13	10	10
Type 3	25	14	24	14	

Truck			

Note: The policy is less tolerant on truck routes.

TRACTOR SEMI-TRAILER: HS Truck and Type 3S2 Truck

• Follow the same procedure as for the single truck, using the HS Truck and the Type 3S2 Truck.



#### TRAILER COMBINATION VEHICLES: Type 3-3 Truck

 There is only vehicle in this class that needs to be analyzed. Determine the ratings and any necessary postings using the Type 3-3 Truck.



Where posting is proposed for a bridge where proper load rating calculation cannot be performed because the details of the reinforcement are not known, the City has the option of using the single-vehicle gross weight sign (R12-1).

#### **INSPECTION**

In general, bridges (as defined by the NBIS) in the City of Overland Park shall be inspected on a 24 month cycle. In addition, the driving surfaces, barriers and sidewalks of those bridges owned by KDOT with a deck maintained by the City of Overland Park shall be inspected on a 24 month cycle to ensure needed repairs are reported to the proper authority. KDOT will supply, on request, the latest inspection records and SI&A sheets for these bridges to the City. The City's Bridge Manager will be responsible for requesting this information to coordinate maintenance activities.

Bridges in the City of Overland Park with the following conditions shall be inspected on a 12 month cycle:

- 1. Problem Structure (cribbed, shored, etc.)
- 2. Bridge with a Condition Rating of  $\leq 3$
- 3. Bridge with a posted load limit of <10 tons, and an ADT of >400
- 4. Bridge with a posted load limit  $\leq$  5 tons, and an ADT of  $\leq$  400
- 5. All Structurally Deficient bridges with a Sufficiency Rating less than 30

Smaller box culverts (less than 20 ft. long) are inspected by Public Works Maintenance.

The required bridge inspections shall be completed by Bridge Inspection Team Leaders on the prequalified inspector's list maintained by KDOT. The City requires a PE to perform all bridge inspections. Inspections shall be performed in accordance with the KDOT-BLP Bridge Inspection Manual. After each field inspection, a SI&A sheet shall be updated with the results of the inspection. The field inspection should produce recommended maintenance activities for each bridge. These recommendations are then used to prioritize maintenance tasks over the following two years.

The City's Bridge Manager ensures that all individual bridge files and master lists are updated after each inspection cycle. Other information recommended to be kept on file includes a map of the bridges new to the inventory, a master list of bridges requiring fracture critical, underwater or other special inspections, and a master list of abutment and pier foundation types. The City's Bridge Manager or Bridge Consultant shall update all the bridge inspection data in the KDOT Bridge Inspection Web Portal. Once all of the bridge information is updated, the City will download the updated database from the Bridge Inspection Web Portal then insert the information into GBA Bridge Master.

#### FILES

Each bridge under authority of the City of Overland Park has a bridge file on record in the Department of Public Works as well as an electronic file in Lucity Bridge Master.

There are four sets of bridge types:

		3/3/3/
1)	Bridges owned and maintained by OP	XXX
2)	Bridges owned by KDOT, deck maintained by OP	8XXX
3)	Pedestrian bridges owned and maintained	9XXX
,	by OP Public Works	
4)	Pedestrian bridges owned and maintained	7XXX
,	by OP Parks	

Each bridge has an electronic file with the following information, if available:

- Bridge Inspection Form and Photos
- SI&A sheet
- Plan Drawings
- Load Rating Calculations
- Hydraulic Assessment Checklist
- Repairs/Maintenance Items

Electronically the files for inspections are stored in K:\Operations\Bridge\Bridge Inspection and are sorted by the year of inspection. The electronic copies of the bridge plans and hydraulic assessments are stored in K:\Operations\Bridge\Bridge Plans along with any load ratings older than 1998. The SI&A sheets are brought into Lucity Bridge Master through Access and SQL commands and make up the body of the main bridge file in GBA Bridge Master.

Electronic files are attached in GBA with the following conventions: Main Bridge File:

Load Rating	Load Rating_YEAR_OPID
Plans	Bridge_Plans_OPID
Plans for Rehabs	Bridge_Plans_REHABTYPE_YEAR_OPID
Hydraulic Assessment Checklist	Hydraulic_Assessment_OPID

Inspection files are attached to the individual inspection record:

Photos

Inspection Form Inspection YEAR\_OPID Photos\_YEAR\_OPID

The City's Bridge Manager is responsible for updating the files and Lucity Bridge Master.

Page 12 of 22

				Attachment A
	<u>I. G</u>	ENERAL INFOR	MATION	
			Structure Identification: Designer:	
			Date:	
STREAM NAME:	·		COUNTY:	
DRAINAGE AREA: LEGAL DESCRIPTIO				
LOCATION:				
EXISTING BRIDGE:	NUMBER: BRIDGE TYPE:			
	BRIDGE LENGTH:			
	SUFFICIENCY: YEAR BUILT: YEAR MODIFIED:		LOAD POSTING:	
NATIONAL HIGHW. FUNTIONAL CLASS STP CLASSIFICATIO	IFICATION:			
TRAFFIC:		S		
DETOUR:				
ENVIRONMENTAL I				
LINVIRONNICINI AL I				
		1 of 7		

	A	ttachment A
	II. HYDROLOGY	
	Structure Identification: Designer:	-
	Date:	
DRAINAGE AREA:		_
		_
WATERSHED DESCRIPTION:		_
		_
BASIN PARAMETERS:		_
RAINFALL:		_
DESIGN FLOWS:		_
		_
	Q2 = Q10 = Q25 = Q50 = Q100 = Q500 =	_
	2 of 7	

		III. HYDRAULIC	<u>5</u>	Attachment A
			Structure Identification: Designer:	
			Date:	
DRAINAGE AREA:				_
PROPOSED BRIDGE:	Bridge Number: Description:			
	Bridge Length:			
<u>RISK:</u>	2			
<u>HISTORIC</u> HIGHWATER:				$\equiv$
HYDRAULIC CONTROLS:				
		3 of 7		

III. HYDRAULICS         Structure Identification:						Atta	chment A
WSE SUMMARY:       Unrestricted Exist Exist Bridge UNSE)       More String Change In String Bridge Bridge Bridge Bridge Bridge In String Bridge Bridge Bridge In String Bridge Bridge In String Bridge Bridge In String In String In String String String String In String In String String String String String String String In String In String String String In String String String In String In String String String String In String In String String String In String String String String In String In String String String In String In String In String In String String String In String In String In String In String String String String In Str		<u>III. (</u>	HYDRAULICS				
WSE SUMMARY: (at BACKWATER LOCATION)       Unrestricted Rating, ('Natural')       Exist Bridge Rating, (NSE)       Proposed Bridge Rating, (NSE)       Proposed Bridge Rating, (WSE)       Change Backwater (In Ft.)         Q2 Q10 Q25 Q50 Q100							
(at BACKWATER LOCATION)       Unrestricted Rating.       Exist Bridge Rating.       Exist Bridge Bridge Bridge Bridge Bridge Bridge Bridge Rating Backwater       Proposed Bridge Bridge Rating Backwater       Change Backwater         Q2 Q10       (in Ft.)       (WSE)       (in Ft.)       (in Ft.)       Bridge Bridge Bridge Rating Qackwater       Bridge Bridge Bridge Rating Backwater       Bridge Bridge Bridge Rating Backwater       Bridge Bridge Bridge Rating Backwater       Bridge Bridge Bridge Rating Backwater       Bridge Bridge Bridge Rating Backwater       Bridge Bridge Bridge Rating Backwater       Bridge Bridge Bridge Backwater       Bridge Bridge Bridge Bridge Rating Backwater       Bridge Bridge Bridge Rating Backwater       Bridge Bridge Bridge Rating Backwater       Bridge Bridge Bridge Bridge Rating Backwater       Bridge Bridge Bridge Bridge Backwater       Brackwater Backwater       Brackwater (in Ft.)       Brackwater       <			C	Date:			
LOCATION)       RatingRatingBridgeRatingBackwater       BridgeRatingRatingBackwater       BridgeRatingRatingBackwater       BridgeRatingBackwater       BridgeRatingBackwater       BridgeRatingBackwater       BridgeRatingBackwater       BridgeRatingBackwater       Backwater       Backwater       Backwater       Image Backwater <thimage backwater<="" th=""></thimage>							
("Natural")       Rating       Backwater       Rating       Backwater       Backwater         WSE)       (WSE)       (in Ft.)       (in Ft.)       (in Ft.)       (in Ft.)         Q2							
Q2       Q10       Q25       Q10       Q25         Q50       Q100       Q100       Q100       Q100         WSE SUMMARY:       Existing Struct.       Prop.Structure         (at STRUCTURE       (TO =)       (TO =)         LOCATION)       Elevation       Clearance         SITE DATA       Reach (valley-stream) Slope:	("Nati	al") <u>Rating [</u>	Backwater	Rating	Backwater	Backwater	
Q10		(WSE)	(in Ft.)	(WSE)	(in Ft.)	(in Ft.)	
Q25		1 1 <b>-</b>					
Q100							
WSE SUMMARY: (at STRUCTURE LOCATION)       Existing Struct. (TO =) Elevation       Prop. Structure (TO =)         Q25 Q50 Q100           Q100           SITE DATA SUMMARY:       Reach (valley-stream) Slope:          Exist. TO:        Exist. Overtopping Elev:          Proposed TO:        Proposed Overtop Elev:          Change in TO:        (Streambed to Crown Grd)							
(at STRUCTURE       (TO =)       (TO =)         LOCATION)       Elevation       Clearance         Q25           Q50           Q100           SITE DATA       Reach (valley-stream) Slope:          SUMMARY:       Exist. TO:       Exist. Overtopping Elev:         Proposed TO:       Proposed Overtop Elev:	Q100						
LOCATION)       Elevation       Clearance       Elevation       Clearance         Q25       Q50       Q100	JMMARY:	Existing Struct.		Prop.Struct	ure		
Q25							
Q50	•	<u>Elevation</u> <u>Clea</u>	arance <u>Ele</u>	evation	<u>Clearance</u>		
Q100							
SUMMARY:         Exist. TO:	-		_				
Proposed TO:       Proposed Overtop Elev:         Change in TO:       Change in Overtop:         Exist. Headroom:       (Streambed to <u>Crown Grd</u> )         Exist. Superstruct. Depth:       Prop. Superstruct. Depth:         Proposed Freeboard (Low Steel - Overtopping El.):       Exist. Waterway (smaller of total waterway or Q100 waterway):		valley-stream) Slop	e:	* ;			
Change in TO:        Change in Overtop:	Exist.						
Exist. Headroom: (Streambed to <u>Crown Grd</u> ) Exist. Superstruct. Depth: Prop. Superstruct. Depth: Proposed Freeboard (Low Steel - Overtopping El.): Exist. Waterway (smaller of total waterway or Q100 waterway):							
Exist. Superstruct. Depth: Prop. Superstruct. Depth: Proposed Freeboard (Low Steel - Overtopping El.): Exist. Waterway (smaller of total waterway or Q100 waterway):	Chang	in 10:	Change In	Overtop:			
Prop. Superstruct. Depth: Proposed Freeboard (Low Steel - Overtopping El.): Exist. Waterway (smaller of total waterway or Q100 waterway):	Exist.	leadroom:		(Streambed	to <u>Crown G</u>	rd)	
Proposed Freeboard (Low Steel - Overtopping El.): Exist. Waterway (smaller of total waterway or Q100 waterway):							
Exist. Waterway (smaller of total waterway or Q100 waterway):		•		pping El.):			
				•	waterway): -		
FREQUENCY       What is the frequency for the desired level of service,         SUMMARY:       considering traffic count and type of highway?					ce,		
Existing Overtop Frequency:	Existi	Overtop Frequenc	;y:				
Proposed Ovrtop Frequency:	Propo	d Ovrtop Frequenc	y:				
Frequency to Subgrade Elev: Contact w/ 'low steel' (TO):							
	Conta	W/ IOW STEEL (TO)	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
4 of 7			4 of 7				

## Page 16 of 22

Attachment A
Structure Identification: Designer: Date:
GENERAL INFORMATION:         Stream Name:         Drainage Area:         Conveyance Distribution         at Approach Section (Q100):         Left Overbank         Main Channel         Right Overbank         Contraction Ratio:         (Bridge length over Q100 Flood Plain width)         Proposed Bridge "Set-Back" from Lt. Channel:         Rt. Channel:
VELOCITY       Unrestricted       Exist       Proposed       Change         SUMMARY:       ('Natural)       Bridge       Bridge       in         Velocity       Velocity       Velocity       Velocity         Q2
SCOUR: (Open Span)       Scour Discharge: QoT:       Q100:       Q500:         Degradation Estimate:ft. <u>ft.</u> <u>Abutment Scour:</u> Q Service:ft.       Q Service:ft.       Q Service:ft.         Q500:ft.       Q500:ft.       Q500:ft.         Stream Force:      ft.       Q500:ft.
5 of 7

Rev. 12/7/05

		Attachment A
	IV STREAM STABILITY and SCOUR	
	Designer:	tification:
<u>SCOUR:</u> (Culvert)		ur Q100:ft.
TALLEST COTTONWOOD UPSTREAM REACH:		
RECOMMENDED COUNTERMEASURES:		
<u>STREAM STABILITY:</u> Channel Change: (Stream Work)		
Bed/Bank Material:		
Channel Description:		
Rosgens Stream Class	a	
Degradation/Aggrgte		
	6 of 7	

	Atta	achment A			
V. LIST OF ATTACHMENTS AND LOOSE ENDS					
	Structure Identification: Designer:				
	Date:	·			
List of Attachments:					
Comments, Special Note	ations:				
;					
-					
		(			
	7 of 7				

#### ATTACHMENT B

#### Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet

#### NATIONAL BRIDGE INVENTORY - - - - - STRUCTURE INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL

10/15/94

	******** IDENTIFICATION ************************************	
(1)	STATE NAME COULDER COULDER	E
(8)	STRUCTURE NUMBER	
(5)	INVENTORY ROUTE (ON/UNDER)	
(2)	NIGHMAY AGENCY DISTRICT	
(3)	COLINTY CODE (4) PLACE CODE	_
(6)	NIGHMAY AGENCY DISTRICT COUNTY CODE(4) PLACE CODE FEATURES INTERSECTED - FACILITY CARRIED	
(7)	FACILITY CARRIED -	
101	LOCATION	
an	NILEPOINT/KILONETERPOINT BASE HIGHNAY NETWORK CO LRS INVENTORY ROUTE & SUBROUTE #	
(12)	BASE HIGHMAY NETLICEY . CO	NE .
(13)	LES INVENTORY POLITE & SUBPOLITE	-
(16)	LATITUDE DEC NIN	SEC
(17)	LONGLTUDE DEG NIN	SEC
(98)	BORDER BRIDGE STATE CODE	E X
(90)	BORDER AR IDGE STRUCTURE NO.	
	LATITUDEDEGNIN LONGITUDEDEGNIN BORDER BRIDGE STATE CODEX SHAU BORDER BRIDGE STRUCTURE NO	
	********* STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL **	
(43)	STRUCTURE TYPE MAIN: MATERIAL	
	TYPE -	CODE
(44)		
	Aug. 6	CODE
(45)	NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT	
(107)	DECK STRUCTURE TYPE - MEARING SURFACE / PROTECTIVE SYSTEM: TYPE OF MEARING SURFACE -	CODE
(108)	WEARING SURFACE / PROTECTIVE SYSTEM:	-
A)	TYPE OF WEARING SURFACE -	CODE
8)	TYPE OF WEARING SURFACE - TYPE OF MEMBRANE - TYPE OF DECK PROTECTION -	CODE
C)	TYPE OF DECK PROTECTION .	CODE
-,		
	********* AGE AND SERVICE **********	*******
(27)		
(27)	YEAR BUILT	
(27) (106) (42)	YEAR BUILT	
(27) (106) (42)	YEAR BUILT	
(27) (106) (42) (28)	YEAR BUILT	
(27) (106) (42) (28) (29)	YEAR BUILT	
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LANES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT (109) TRUCK ADT	
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LANES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT (109) TRUCK ADT	
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LANES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT (109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH	CODE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LANES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT (109) TRUCK ADT	CODE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LANES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT (109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH	CODE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT(109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH POPORTON GEOMETRIC DATA	CODE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT(109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH POPORTON GEOMETRIC DATA	CODE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48) (49) (50) (51)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT(109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LEMGTH POPORTON OF MAXIMUM SPAN STRUCTURE LENGTM STRUCTURE LENGTM STRUCTURE LENGTM CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFT N RIGH	CODE TURE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48) (49) (50) (51)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT(109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LEMGTH POPORTON OF MAXIMUM SPAN STRUCTURE LENGTM STRUCTURE LENGTM STRUCTURE LENGTM CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFT N RIGH	CODE TURE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (32)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT(109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LEMGTH POPULATION OF MAXIMUM SPAN STRUCTURE LENGTM CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFTN RIGH BRIDGE ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS)	CODE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (32)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT(109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LEMGTH POPULATION OF MAXIMUM SPAN STRUCTURE LENGTM CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFTN RIGH BRIDGE ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS)	CODE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (32)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT(109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LEMGTH POPULATION OF MAXIMUM SPAN STRUCTURE LENGTM CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFTN RIGH BRIDGE ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS)	CODE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (52) (32) (33) (34)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT(109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LEMGTH PORTON OF MAXIMUM SPAN STRUCTURE LENGTM CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFTN RIGH BRIDGE ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS) BRIDGE MEDIANSSTRUCTURE FLARE	CODE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (52) (32) (33) (34)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT(109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LEMGTH PORTON OF MAXIMUM SPAN STRUCTURE LENGTM CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFTN RIGH BRIDGE ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS) BRIDGE MEDIANSSTRUCTURE FLARE	CODE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (33) (34) (10) (47) (53)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT(109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LEMGTH STRUCTURE LENGTH CLENGTH OF MAXIMUM SPAN STRUCTURE LENGTM CLENG OR SIDEWALK: LEFTN RIGH DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS) BRIDGE MEDIAN - SKEWDEG (35) STRUCTURE FLARE INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR INVENTORY ROUTE TOTAL WORIZ CLEAR	CODE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (33) (34) (10) (47) (53)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT(109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LEMGTH STRUCTURE LENGTH CLENGTH OF MAXIMUM SPAN STRUCTURE LENGTM CLENG OR SIDEWALK: LEFTN RIGH DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS) BRIDGE MEDIAN - SKEWDEG (35) STRUCTURE FLARE INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR INVENTORY ROUTE TOTAL WORIZ CLEAR	CODE TURE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (33) (34) (10) (47) (53)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT(109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LEMGTH STRUCTURE LENGTH CLENGTH OF MAXIMUM SPAN STRUCTURE LENGTM CLENG OR SIDEWALK: LEFTN RIGH DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS) BRIDGE MEDIAN - SKEWDEG (35) STRUCTURE FLARE INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR INVENTORY ROUTE TOTAL WORIZ CLEAR	CODE TURE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (33) (34) (10) (47) (53)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT(109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LEMGTH STRUCTURE LENGTH CLENGTH OF MAXIMUM SPAN STRUCTURE LENGTM CLENG OR SIDEWALK: LEFTN RIGH DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS) BRIDGE MEDIAN - SKEWDEG (35) STRUCTURE FLARE INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR INVENTORY ROUTE TOTAL WORIZ CLEAR	CODE TURE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (33) (34) (10) (47) (53)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT(109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LEMGTH POPORTOR GEOMETRIC DATA STRUCTURE LENGTH CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFT M RIGH BRIDGE ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS) BRIDGE MEDIAN - DEG (35) STRUCTURE FLARE INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR WIN VERT CLEAR OVER BRIDGE RDWY NIN VERT UNDERCLEAR RT REF - MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR LT	CODE TURE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (53) (53) (53) (53) (53) (54) (55) (56)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT (109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH STRUCTURE LENGTH CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFT N RIGH DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB INVENTORY ROUTE NIN VERT CLEAR INVENTORY ROUTE TOTAL MORIZ CLEAR INW VERT UNDERCLEAR REF MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR LT	CODE TURE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (53) (53) (53) (53) (53) (54) (55) (56)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT (109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH STRUCTURE LENGTH CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFT N RIGH DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB INVENTORY ROUTE NIN VERT CLEAR INVENTORY ROUTE TOTAL MORIZ CLEAR INW VERT UNDERCLEAR REF MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR LT	CODE TURE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (53) (53) (53) (53) (53) (54) (55) (56)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT (109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH STRUCTURE LENGTH CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFT N RIGH DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB INVENTORY ROUTE NIN VERT CLEAR INVENTORY ROUTE TOTAL MORIZ CLEAR INW VERT UNDERCLEAR REF MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR LT	CODE TURE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (53) (53) (53) (53) (53) (54) (55) (56)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT (109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH STRUCTURE LENGTH CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFT N RIGH DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB INVENTORY ROUTE NIN VERT CLEAR INVENTORY ROUTE TOTAL MORIZ CLEAR INW VERT UNDERCLEAR REF MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR LT	CODE TURE
(106) (42) (28) (29) (30) (19) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (53) (53) (53) (53) (53) (54) (55) (56)	YEAR BUILT YEAR RECONSTRUCTED TYPE OF SERVICE: ON - UNDER - LAMES: ON STRUCTUREUNDER STRUC AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC YEAR OF ADT(109) TRUCK ADT BYPASS, DETOUR LEMGTH POPORTOR GEOMETRIC DATA STRUCTURE LENGTH CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFT M RIGH BRIDGE ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS) BRIDGE MEDIAN - DEG (35) STRUCTURE FLARE INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR WIN VERT CLEAR OVER BRIDGE RDWY NIN VERT UNDERCLEAR RT REF - MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR LT	CODE TURE

***************************************	****
MUTTIFICUAR BATTUR -	
SUFFICIENCY RATING =	
********* CLASSIFICATION ****************	CODE
(112) NOIS BRIDGE LENGTH -	-
(104) NIGHWAY SYSTEM -	-
(26) FUNCTIONAL CLASS -	_
(100) DEFENSE HIGHWAY -	-
(101) PARALLEL STRUCTURE -	-
(102) DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC -	-
(103) TEMPORARY STRUCTURE	
(105) FEDERAL LANDS WIGHWAYS	
(110) DESIGNATED NATIONAL HETHORK *	-
(20) TUCL	-
(22) CLANER -	_
(37) HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE -	-
(112) NBIS BRIDGE LENGTH -         (104) NIGHMAY SYSTEM -         (26) FUNCTIONAL CLASS -         (100) DEFENSE HIGHMAY -         (101) PARALLEL STRUCTURE -         (102) DIRCTION OF TRAFFIC -         (103) TEMPORARY STRUCTURE -         (105) FEDERAL LANDS NICHMAYS -         (105) FEDERAL LANDS NICHMAYS -         (105) FEDERAL LANDS NICHMAYS -         (101) DESIGNATED NATIONAL NETWORK -         (20) TOLL -         (21) MAINTAIN -         (22) OMMER -         (37) HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE -	-
esesses CONDITION	CODE
(58) DECK	-
(59) SUPERSTRUCTURE	-
(60) SUBSTRUCTURE	-
(61) CHANNEL & CHANNEL PROTECTION	-
(62) CULVERTS	-
	0000
(31) DESIGN LOAD RATING AND POSTING ******* (31) DESIGN LOAD - OR (63) OPERATING RATING METHOD - (64) OPERATING RATING - (65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD - (66) INVENTORY RATING - (70) BRIDGE POSTING -	CODE
(S1) DESIGN LOAD - ON	-
(63) UPERAILING RATING HEIHUU	-
(65) UPERATING RATING -	
(AA) INVENTORY BATING -	· •
(66) INVENTORY RATING - (70) BRIDGE POSTING -	
(41) STRUCTURE OPEN, POSTED OR CLOSED -	
DESCRIPTION -	-
******* APPRAISAL ************************************	CODE
(67) STRUCTURAL EVALUATION	-
(68) DECK GEONETRY	-
(69) UNDERCLEARANCES, VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL	-
(71) WATERWAY ADEQUACY	-
(72) APPROACH ROADWAY ALIGMMENT (36) TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURES	-
(113) SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGES	-
(115) SCOOR CRITICAL BRIDGES	-
********* PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS *********	*****
(75) TYPE OF WORK . CODE	
(76) LENGTH OF STRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT	. #
(94) BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT COST \$	,000
(95) ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT COST \$	_,000
(96) TOTAL PROJECT COST \$	_,000
(75) TYPE OF WORK - CODE (76) LENGTH OF STRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT (94) BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT COST S (95) ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT COST S (96) TOTAL PROJECT COST S (97) YEAR OF IMPROVEMENT COST ESTIMATE (114) FUTURE ADT	
(114) FUTURE ADT	
(115) YEAR OF FUTURE ADT	
	*****
(90) INSPECTION DATE _/_ (91) FREQUENCY	10
(2) CRITICAL FEATURE INCOCUTION- (03) (5)	DATE
AN EPACTURE CRIT DETAIL + HD AN	1
(90) INSPECTION DATE (91) PREDURLT (92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: (93) CRI A) FRACTURE CRIT DETAIL MO A) B) UNDERWATER INSP MO B) C) OTHER SOFCIAL INSP MO C)	
CY OTHER SPECIAL INSP MO C)	-'-

#### ATTACHMENT C

#### **OVERLAND PARK BRIDGE RATING**

(20\_)

BRIDGE NO. \_\_\_\_\_\_ Facility Carried: \_\_\_\_\_

Location: \_\_\_\_\_\_

ANALYSIS LOADING

RATING METHOD

REMARKS:

TRUCK ROUTE: Yes No

RATING SUMMARY					
TRUCK	INVENTORY RATING (TONS)	OPERATING Rating (Tons)	RECOMMENDED POSTING (TONS)		
AASHTO HS20-44 (REPORTED ON SI&A)			N/A		
KANSAS H Rating Truck Weight: 20 Tons Posting Truck Weight: 12,5 Tons					
KANSAS TYPE 3 Total Weight: 25 Tons					
KANSAS HS Rating Truck Weight: 36 Tons Posting Truck Weight: 22.5 Tons					
KANSAS TYPE 3S2 Total Weight: 36 Tons					
KANSAS TYPE 3-3 Total Weight: 40 Tons					



#### Kansas Department of Transportation

# ATTACHMENT D Design Manual



Figure 4.11.1.2 Legal and Load Rating Trucks - (Exhibit "A")

NOTE: Recommended Trucks Manual for Condition Evaluation of Bridges.
 A.A.S.H.T.O. Design Truck, Required by FHWA (LFD Method) for NBIP.
 Any combination of truck-trailer, tractor-trailer.

This distance varies. As minimum use 14' and 30'.

Volume III US Version 1/05

4-28

**Bridge Section** 

#### 4.3 "Rating" Trucks

Bridge capacity is dependent upon bridge geometry, material, condition, structure type, etc. As related to trucks, a bridge's capacity depends not only upon the gross vehicle weight, but also upon the number and spacing of the axles and the distribution of load between the axles. Since it is not practical to rate a bridge for the countless number of axle configurations possible, Kansas' state highway bridges are rated for seven standard vehicles which are representative of the actual vehicles on the highways. County and city bridges need not be rated for the T130 and T170 vehicles.

"Rating" trucks are the standard truck configurations used by KDOT for the rating and posting of bridges and short span structures. The standard trucks are the "H", which is a design truck; the "T-3", "T3S2", and "T3-3", which are recommended by AASHTO; the "HS", which is required by FHWA; and the "T130" and "T170" used for special permits on State Highways. See Exhibit "A".

To maintain consistency on the local and state system bridges, all bridges should be rated for the same trucks.

"Rating" trucks are divided into four categories:

	Max. Gross Wt.	Posting Wt.
Single Truck:		
H Unit	20.0 tons	12.5 tons
Type 3 Unit	25.0 tons	25.0 tons
Truck-Tractor Semi-Trailer:		
		00 E 4
HS Unit	36.0 tons	22.5 tons
Type 3S2 Unit	36.0 tons	36.0 tons
Truck-Trailer:		
Type 3-3 Unit	40.0 tons	40.0 tons
Permit:		
Type T130 Unit	65.0 tons	
Type T170 Unit	85.0 tons	

Exhibit "A" outlines the standard trucks used in the rating of Bridges in Kansas and it also shows the Kansas "Legal" trucks used as an aide in posting. Beyond the standard load rating trucks, other legal truck configuration may be used for analysis for posting purposes. Any legal truck configuration shown in Figure 4.11.1.2 (page 4-27), that causes a higher stress level on a specific structure shall be used for posting.

Volume III US Version 1/05

4 - 3